

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
9 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**  
10

11 **CRISS L. ROGERS,**  
12 **Plaintiff(s),**

Case No.: 2:19-cv-01581-APG-NJK

13 **v.**

**ORDER**

14 **C.R. BARD, INC., et al.,**  
15 **Defendant(s).**

16 This case was recently transferred to this Court from MDL proceedings taking place in the  
17 District of Arizona. Pending before the Court is the parties' joint status report. Docket No. 19.<sup>1</sup>  
18 The Court hereby **ORDERS** as follows:

19 First, the parties must promptly conduct a conference that complies with Rule 26(f) of the  
20 Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and must file a proposed discovery plan that complies with Local  
21 Rule 26-1 by October 25, 2019.

22 Second, the parties must meet-and-confer regarding a stipulated protective order to enter  
23 in this case, as the Court is not inclined to adopt three separate orders that were entered over time  
24  
25  
26

27  
28 <sup>1</sup> The filing is entitled a joint status report "and Proposed Scheduling Order." Docket No. 19 at 1. This appears to be a scrivener's error, as no proposed scheduling order was included.

1 during the MDL proceedings.<sup>2</sup> No later than October 24, 2019, the parties must file a proposed  
2 stipulated protective order.<sup>3,4</sup>

3 IT IS SO ORDERED.

4 Dated: October 11, 2019

5   
6 Nancy J. Koppe  
7 United States Magistrate Judge  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23

24 \_\_\_\_\_  
25 <sup>2</sup> The Court expresses no opinion herein as to the actual terms of those orders, but rather  
26 would prefer to adopt a single order that incorporates all relevant terms.

27 <sup>3</sup> This must be a stand-alone filing and not simply included within the proposed discovery  
28 plan.

<sup>4</sup> The joint status report references a host of other issues. The Court expresses no opinion  
herein on any other issues identified in the joint status report.